

EVALUATION OF THE ROLE OF POSTMASTECTOMY RADIOTHERAPY IN WOMEN WITH ONE TO THREE POSITIVE AXILLARY NODES WITH EXTRACAPSULAR INVASION

Mohammad Mazrouh^{1, 2}, Alaa Fayed¹, Almotaleb Mohamad¹, Mostafa Toam¹, Ahmad Alattar¹, Khaled A. Mansour¹ and Wael H. Elsawy¹

(1) Department of Clinical Oncology, Faculty Medicine, Zagazig University

(2) Fakous Cancer Center

ABSTRACT

Background: There is insufficient evidence to suggest the routine use of postmastectomy radiotherapy (PMRT) in women with T1-T2 breast carcinoma and 1-3 positive lymph nodes with extracapsular invasion (ECI). PURPOSE: To determine whether PMRT after adjuvant chemotherapy will reduce the risk of loco-regional recurrence (LRR) and thus improve survival, in this group of patients. Patients and methods: Between May 2004 and December 2006, 64 women with pathologic T1-T2 breast carcinoma and 1-3 positive nodes with ECI, and who had undergone modified radical mastectomy and received adjuvant chemotherapy, were randomized to PMRT (group A, n=32) or no adjuvant radiotherapy (group B, n=32). Loco-regional radiotherapy schedule was 50 Gy in 25 fractions over 5 weeks. The median Follow-up period was 50 months (range, 35-66). **Results:** The two treatment groups were well balanced with respect to the known prognostic factors. Out of 64 patients, 53% were < 45 years, 75% had more than one positive node with ECI 56% had stage T2, 61% had lymphovascular invasion, 31% were negative estrogen receptor and 23% had histologic grade 3. LRR rates were 12.5% and 25% of patients in group A and group B, respectively (P < 0.05). The estimated 5-year disease free survival rates were 81% and 68% of patients in group A and group B, respectively (P > 0.05). The 5-year overall survival rates were 96% and 93% of patients in group A and group B. respectively (P > 0.05). Univariate analysis failed to show any impact of prognostic factors on local recurrence free survival, distant metastasis free survival or overall survival. Three patients in group A and one in group B developed grade-3 lymphodema. None of the patients have developed radiation pneumonitis, brachial plexopathy or cardiac events. Conclusion: In women with T1-T2 breast carcinoma and 1-3 positive lymph nodes with ECI, significantly lower LRR was observed with PMRT than without adjuvant radiotherapy. Further trials with larger number of patients and longer follow-up periods are needed to optimize loco-regional control and potentially improve survival in this group of patients.

Key words: Breast cancer, Post-mastectomy radiotherapy, 1-3 positive nodes, Extracapsular invasion.

INTRODUCTION

B reast cancer is the most common cancer and the leading cause of death from cancer among women worldwide ⁽¹⁾. Locoregional recurrence (LRR) after mastectomy is not only a substantial clinical problem, but has a significant impact on the outcome (1,2,3). Randomized trails have refined the opinion that better loco-regional control may decrease the risk of secondary dissemination and improve overall survival. PMRT has traditionally been given to selected patients considered at high risk for local-regional failure. PMRT can decrease LRR in this group, even among those patients who receive adjuvant chemotherapy. Patients at highest risk for LRR include those with four

or more positive axillary nodes, large primary tumors, and very close or positive deep margins of resection of the primary tumor. Patients with one to three involved nodes without any of the previously noted risk factors are at low risk of local recurrence, and the value of routine use of PMRT in this setting has been unclear ^(4, 5, 6, 7, 8).

Extracapsular invasion (ECI) of lymph node metastases is a well known predictive and prognostic factor in many malignant solid tumors and demands additive irradiation ⁽⁹⁾. The importance of ECI of axillary metastases as a risk factor for both local or distant recurrence and poorer survival in breast cancer has been suggested, but its prognostic value has not





been uniformly confirmed ^(10, 11, 12). The frequency of ECI was in accordance with the number of positive nodes: 40.9 % with one and 57.6% with two to three positive nodes. Also, ECI of 84.2% was found in lymph nodes with a diameter > 2 cm. It seems quite understandable that the frequency of ECI goes parallel to the number of involved lymph nodes ; the higher their number, the more ECI may lose independent its presumed prognostic character towards the higher number of positive lymph nodes ^(12, 13, 14, 15). If ECI in a small number of positive lymph nodes becomes an independent prognostic factor, it is allowed to suggest that the number of involved nodes loses its independence at all against the parameter of the finding of ECI (16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21)

This study was carried out at Zagazig University Hospitals and Fakous Cancer Center to determine whether PMRT after adjuvant chemotherapy will reduce the risk of LRR and thus improve survival, in women with T1-T2 breast carcinoma and 1-3 positive lymph nodes with ECI.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Between May 2004 and December 2006, 64 women with histologically confirmed carcinoma of the breast with primary tumor ≤ 5 cm and 1-3 positive axillary nodes with ECI, and who had undergone modified radical mastectomy and received adjuvant chemotherapy were included in this study. A minimum of 10 nodes must have been removed and pathologically examined. Patients must not have received prior chest wall or nodal radiotherapy.

Pretreatment evaluation included; patient history and clinical examination, chest-x-ray, contralateral mammography, ultrasonography of the liver, bone scintigraphy, blood tests and computed tomography of the thorax.

Patients were randomized into two groups:

Group (A): Included 32 Patients who received PMRT.

Group (B): Included 32 Patients who received no adjuvant radiotherapy.

Radiotherapy started within 6 weeks of completing adjuvant chemotherapy. Radjotherapy schedule was 50 Gy in 2-Gy fractions over 5 weeks to the chest wall, axillary lymph nodes, and the supraclavicular fossa with the internal mammary lymph nodes. Treatment was given by telecobalt machine.

Patients were evaluated weekly during treatment, monthly for 6 months after completion of radiotherapy, every 3 months for 2 years and every 6 months thereafter. LRR was defined as any relapse in the area of surgery between the sternum and anterior axillary line, and below the infraclavicular fossa and above the 7th rib. Any tumor recurrences at one of the pectoralis muscles or at the fascias of the serratus lateralis muscle or the oblique externus muscle were also defined as local recurrence. Any relapse infiltrating the skin and/or involving the axillary lymph nodes or the metastatic infiltration of the nodules in the infra or supraclavicular considered fossa was a regional recurrence. Any tumor outside these areas was defined as distant metastasis. The overall survival. local-recurrence-free survival. distant-metastasis-free survival and disease-free survival rates were calculated from the date of surgery until the date of death or up to the last followup, the date of the local recurrence or up to the last follow-up, the date of the distant metastasis or up to the last follow-up, and the date of the first relapse or up to the last respectively. Radiotherapy follow-up, related toxicity was assessed according to the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) scoring criteria.

For statistical analysis, the computer software statistical package for the social sciences 8.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA), was used. The survival analysis was estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method and using the log-rank test to compare between survival curves. The Pearson X² test was





applied in cross tables. The influence of prognostic factors (age, no. of positive nodes. tumor size, lymphovascular invasion, grade, histologic hormone receptor status and radiotherapy) on LRR and the survival rate was evaluated using the Cox regression model. Statistical significance was assumed, when the Pvalue of the appropriate test was less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics in both groups are given in table (1). No significant difference could be seen between the two treatment groups regarding; age. menopausal status, no. of positive nodes with ECI, T-stage, histological grade, hormonal receptors status, tumor necrosis, and lymphovascular invasion (LVI). Out of 64 patients, 53% were \leq 45 years, 75% had more than one positive node, 56% had stage T2, 61% had LVI, 31% were negative estrogen receptor and 23% had histologic grade 3.

At a median follow-up duration of 50 months (range, 35-66), 48 patients (75%) were free of tumor recurrence. Table (2) shows the number of local, regional, and distant failures as first event in both groups. The frequencies of isolated LRR were 12.5% (4/32) in group A and 25% (8/32) in group В with statistical significant difference between both groups (P < 0.05). In group (B) one isolated local recurrence occurred in 32 patients

representing 3.12% of cases and non in group (A). There was no isolated regional failure in group (A), while 3 patients in group B suffered a relapse in the axillary and subclavian regions. In PMRT group (A), 15.6% of patients developed distant metastasis versus 18.8% in group B with no statistical significant difference. The LRR rate in combination with distant metastases was 9.37% (3/32) in group A versus 12,5% (4/32) in group B.

The estimated 5-year disease free survival rates were 81% and 68% of patients in group A and group B, respectively (P < 0.05, Fig. 1). One patient in group A and two patients in group B died of their disease representing 3.12% and 6.25% respectively. The estimated 5year overall survival rates were 96% and 93% of patients in group A and group B respectively (P > 0.05, Fig. 2).

Univariate analysis failed to show any impact of prognostic factors (age, no. of positive nodes with ECI, T-stage, histologic grade, estrogen receptor status, tumor necrosis, LVI, tumor necrosis) on local recurrence free survival [LRFS), distant metastases free survival (DMFS), or overall survival (OS) (Table 3).

Acute radiotherapy related toxicity was mainly skin reactions and mild to moderate in seventy. Three patients in group A and one in group B developed grade 3 lymphodema. Non of the patients

Characteristics	Group A (n=32)		Group B (n=32)		P value
	No	%	No	%	-
Age (years)					
≤ 45	18	56.2	16	50	
> 45	14	43.8	16	50	
Menopausal status					
Premenopausal	21	65.6	23	71.8	
Postmenopausal	11	34.4	9	28.2	_
Positive nodes with extracapsular invasion ECI					-
1	7	21.9	9	28.1	-
2	14	43.6	16	50	-
3	11	34.4	7	21.9	> 0.05
T-stage					_
T_1	13	40.6	15	46.9	
T_2	19	59.4	17	53.1	_
Tumor grade					
GI	3	9.4	6	18.8	
G II	19	59.4	21	65.6	
G III	10	31.3	5	15.6	
Receptor status					
ER -	9	28.1	11	34.4	
ER +	23	71.8	21	65.6	
PR -	15	46.9	18	56.2	
PR +	17	53.1	14	43.8	
Tumor necrosis					
Yes	13	40.6	15	46.9	
No	19	59.4	17	53.1	
LVI					
Yes	21	65.6	18	56.2	_
No	11	39.6	14	43.8	_

Table (1): Patients characteristics in the two treatment groups.

Abbreviations: ECI; extracapsular invasion, LVI; lymphovascular invasion, ER; estrogen receptors, PR; proestrogen receptors.

Table (2): Pattern of relation	pse as first event in	the two treatment groups.
--------------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------

Character	Group .	Group A (n=32)		Group B (n=32)	
	No	%	No	%	
Local only	0	-	1	3.12	
Local + regional	1	3.12	0	-	
Local + regional + distant	1	3.12	2	6.25	
Local + distant	1	3.12	1	3.12	
Regional only	0	-	3	9.37	
Regional + distant	1	3.12	1	3.12	
All locoregional	4	12.5	8	25	< 0.05
Distant only	2	6.25	2	6.25	
All distant	5	15.6	6	18.8	

Table (3): Univariate analysis for LRFS, DMFS and OS in both groups.					
Parameter	LRFS	DMFS	OS		
	Р	Р	Р		
Age ($\leq 45 \text{ vs} > 45$) years	0.506	0.532	0.627		
T-stage					
Ι	0.823	0.799	0.728		
II	0.964	0.625	0.567		
Positive lymph nodes					
1	0.073	0.427	0.582		
2	0.625	0.538	0.732		
3	0.711	0.621	0.611		
LVI (Yes VS No)	0.093	0.082	0.079		
ER (+ ve VS - ve)	0.522	0.682	0.534		
Grade (G2 vs G3)	0.413	0.318	0.421		
Necrosis (Yes VS No)	0.863	0.726	0.673		

LVI; Lymphatic Vessel Invasion, ER; Estrogen Receptors, LRFS; Local recurrence free survival, DMFS; Distant metastasis free survival, OS; Overall survival

Morbidity	Group A		Group B		
	No	%	No	%	
Lymphoedema	3	9.37	1	3.12	
Erythema	8	25	-	-	
Telangectasia	_	-	-	-	
Hyper pigmentation	2	6.25	-	_	

Table (4) •	Treatment	morbidity	in	hoth	groun
1 abie (4) .	1 reatment	mor pluity	ш	DOTH	group



Fig. (1) : Local recurrence free survival in the two treatment groups.



Control of the second s

Evaluation of the role of postmastectomy



Fig. (2) : Overall survival (OS) in the two treatment groups.

DISCUSSION

The finding of extra nodal invasion is frequent but is presumably dependent on the extent of examination and especially on the question of the investigator. This may explain the large differences of extracapsular invasion rates in literature ⁽¹⁾.

Most series revealed extracapsular invasion rates in the rang of 24-50% $^{(2, 3, 4, 5)}$.

Veronesi et al. ⁽⁹⁾ reported on 539 patients whose 3,259 metastatic axillary nodes were investigated prospectively and very carefully : 1,957 (60%) showed an extracapsular invasion. The frequency of extracapsular invasion was in accordance with the number of positive lymph nodes : 40.9% with one, 57.6% with two to three, and 47.2% with more than three axillary metastases ⁽⁹⁾.

If extracapsular invasion in a small number of positive lymph nodes becomes an independent prognostic factor, it is allowed to suggest that the number of involved LN loses its independence at all against the parameter of the finding of extra nodal invasion ⁽²⁶⁾.

First reports which found a correlation of extracapsular invasion with decreased survival were published in 1976/1977 ^(8, 18). These results have mean while been confirmed by several studies^(2, 3, 8, 23, 25) with 50-61% OS rates in these series. While **Gruber et al.** ⁽¹⁾ found a comparable results of OS rate of 61% at 5 years.

In our study the OS rate was 94.3% which can explained by the early stage disease $(T_{1,2})$ in the study groups.

Despite this knowledge of extracapsular invasion as negative prognostic factor, there is surprisingly, no prospective randomized study evaluating adjuvant therapy in the presence of this parameter. Few publications^(2, 4, 5, 8, 23, 15) mentioned patients with extracapsular invasion treated with loco regional radiotherapy, but only, two retrospective studies ^(2, 8) compared the results of irradiated against un-irradiated patients with extra nodal invasion of their involved axillary lymph nodes.

Similar to our results, there was no significant difference in the survival curves of both groups $^{(2, 8)}$.

In our study the estimated 5-year overall survival was 96.6% and 93.7% for both groups A & B respectively, with no statistical significant difference. While the results of the British Columbia trial ⁽²⁵⁾, an absolute gain in overall survival of 20% (51% vs 31%) was reached in patients with extracapsular invasion and adjuvant CMF alone. Additional loco regional radiotherapy had its highest positive influence on the survival rate in patients with less than four axillary metastases and extracapsular invasion.

In the absence of extra capsular invasion, loco regional radiotherapy failed to result in a significant improved outcome ⁽²⁵⁾. The Danish trials showed a 14-year OS of 35% with radiation therapy versus 22% without radiation therapy in the case of lymph node capsule invasion (P < 0.0001) ⁽¹²⁾.





Univariate analysis of our results revealed no statistical significant difference regarding the number of positive lymph nodes, T-stage, LVI, hormone receptor status, age and grade of tumor. Similar results were obtained by **Gruba** et al.⁽¹⁾.

In this study, there was a statistical significant difference in loco regional failure between both groups 12.5% in group A versus 25% in group B, (P = 0.045), while DFS and DMFS showed no statistical significant difference between both groups Fig 2, table (2).

A similar results were reported by I Lknur et al. ⁽²⁶⁾, Gruber et al. ⁽¹⁾, and Fodor et al. ⁽²²⁾. They concluded that, patients with T1 tumor and one to three positive nodes are at low risk for isolated locoregional recurrence (LRR) either with or without radiation therapy, while patients with T_2 tumor and one to three positive axillary lymph nodes are at high risk of isolated locoregional recurrence without radiotherapy.

According to a consensus statement on post matectomy radiation therapy ⁽¹⁰⁾, the chest wall should be irradiated in all patients and the inclusion of axillary apex and subclavian area is appropriate for selected node-positive cases particularly those with four or more positive nodes.

We see the finding of extranodal invasion in involved axillary nodes at least of similar importance as the finding of more than three involved lymph nodes and recommend the same treatment volume (locoregional) for these patients, namely chest wall and subclavian area as for patients with more than 3 lymph node metastases.

REFERENCES

- 1- Gruber G, Berclaz G, Hans-Jorg et al. Can the addition of regional radiotherapy counter-balance important risk factors in breast cancer patients with extracapsular invasion of axillary lymph node metastases. Strahlenther Oncol. (2003), Oct; 179 (10): 661-6.
- 2- Donegan WL, Stine SB, Samter TG. Implications of extracapsular nodal metastases for treatment and prognosis of breast cancer. Cancer; (1993), 72: 778-82.
- 3- Fisher BJ, Perera FE, Cooke Al et al. Extracapsular axillary node extension in patients receiving adjuvant systemic therapy : An indication for radiotherapy ? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys; (1997), 38: 551-9.

- 4- Yang PS, Chen CM, Liu MC, et al. Radiotherapy can decrease locoregional recurrence and increase survival in mastectomy patients with T1 to T2 breast cancer and one to three positive nodes with negative estrogen receptor and positive lymphovascular invasion status [J]. Radiat Oncol Biol Phys, (2010), 77(2):516-522.
- 5- Hetelekidis S, Schnitt SJ, Silver B, et al. The significance of extracapsular extension of axillary lymph node metastases in early stage breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys; (2000), 40: 31-4.
- 6- Jager JJ, Volvics L, Shouten LJ, et al. Locoregional recurrences after mastectomy in breast cancer : Prognostic factors and implications for post-operative irradiation. Radiother Oncol; (1999), 50: 267-75.
- 7- Marks LB, Zeng J, Prosnitz LR. One to three versus four or more positive nodes and postmastectomy radiotherapy: Time to end the debate. J Clin Oncol, (2008), 26(13):2075-2077.
- 8- Leonard C, Corkill M, Tomkin J, et al. Are axillary recurrence and overall survival affected by axillary extranodal tumor extension in breast cancer ? Implications for radiation therapy. J Clin Oncol; (1995), 13: 47-53.
- 9- Veronesi U, Rilke F, Luini A, et al. Distribution of axillary node metastases by level of invasion an analysis of 539 cases. Cancer; (1987), 59: 682-7.
- 10- Dunst J, Steil B, Furch S, et al. Prognostic significance of local recurrence in breast cancer after post-mastectomy radiotherapy Strah Lenther Onkol; (2001), 177: 504-10.
- 11- Sauer R, Shulz DK, Hellriegel KP. Radiation therapy after mastectomyinterdisciplinary consensus puts an end to a controversy. German society of senology. Strah Lenther Oncol; (2001), 177: 1-9.
- 12- Overgaard M. Update of the Danishtrials of postoperative radiotherapy in high-risk breast cancer patients given adjuvant systemic therapy. In : Kogelink HD, Leukas P, Sedlmayer F, eds. Progress in radio-oncology Vil. Bologna : Monduzzi, (2002), 483-92.
- 13- Overgaard M, Jensen NB, Overgaard J, et al. Postoperative radiotherapy in high-risk



postmenopausal breast-cancer patients given adjuvant tamoxiben : Danish Breast cancer Cooperative group DBCG 82C randomized trial. Lancet; (1999), 353: 1641-8.

- 14- Ragaz J, Jackson SM, Le N, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy and chemotherapy in node. Positive premenopausal women with breast cancer. N Engl J Med; (1997), 337: 956-62.
- 15- Vicini FA, Horwitz EM, Lacrena MD, et al. The role of regional nodal irradiation in the management of patients with early-stage breast cancer treated with breastconserving therapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys; (1997), 39: 1069-76.
- 16- Harris JR, Halpin-Murphy P, Mc Neese M, et al. Consensus statement on postmastectomy radiation therapy. Int J Radiat. Oncol Biol Phys; (1999), 44: 989-90.
- 17- Fisher ER, Palekar AS, Gregorio RM, et al. Pathologic findings from the National surgical adjuvant breast project (protocol N.4). III. The significance of extranodal extension of axillary metastases. Am J Clin Pathol; (1976), 56: 439-44.
- 18- Kahlert S, Boetteher B, Lebeau A, et al. Prognostic impact of extended extracapsular component in involved lymph nodes in primary breast cancer. Eur J Cancer; (1999), 35: Suppl 4: 89. Abstract 292.
- 19- Mambo NC, Gallagers. Carcinoma of the breast: The prognostic significance of extraanodal extension of axillary disease. Cancer; (1977), 39: 2280-5.
- 20- Pierce LJ, Oberman HA, Strawerman MH, et al. Microscopic extracapsular extension in the axilla : Is this an indication for axillary radiotherapy ? Int. J. Radiat Oncol Biol. Phys; (1995), 33: 253-9.
- 21- Ragaz J, Jackson S, Le N, et al. Post mastectomy radiation outcome in node positive breast cancer patients among N1-3 versus N4+ subset: Impact of extracapsular spread. Update of the British Columbia randomized trial. Proc Am Soc. Clin Oncol; (1999), 18: 73a. abstract 274.
- 22- Fodor J, Polgar C, Major T, et al. Loco regional failure 15-years after mastectomy in women with one to three positive axillary nodes with or without irradiation.

Strah Lenther Onkol; (2003), 179 (3): 197-202.

- 23- Fowble B. Post-mastectomy radiation in patients with one to three positive axillary nodes receiving adjuvant chemotherapy: An unresolved issue. Semi Radiat Oncol; (1999), 9: 230-40.
- 24- Goldhirsch A, Glick JH, Gelber RD, et al. Meeting highlights : International consensus panel on the treatment of primary breast cancer. J Clin Oncol; (2001), 19: 3817-27.
- 25- Pierce LJ. Treatment guidelines and techniques in delivery of post mastectomy radiotherapy in management of operable breast cancer. J Nat L Cancer Inst Monogr; (2001), 30: 117-24.
- 26- Recht A, Edge SB, Solin LJ, et al. Post mastectomy radiotherapy : Clinical Practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. J Clin Oncol; (2001), 19: 1539-69.
- 27- Wolfgang J, Thomas D, Stephan B, et al. Radiotherapy of the chest wall following mastectomy for early-stage breast cancer: Impact on local recurrence and overall survival. Int. J. Radiation Oncology. Biol. Physics; (2000), 48; 4: 967-974.



تقييم دور العلاج الإشعاعى الموضعى فى المراحل الأولى لسرطان الثدى وإصابة عدد واحد إلى ثلاث من الغدد الليمفاوية مع انتشار الإصابة خارج غلاف الغدة.

تهدف هذه الدراسة إلى نقييم دور العلاج الإشعاعى فى المراحل الأولى لسرطان الثدى (مرحلة ١، ٢) مع إصابة عدد واحد إلى ثلاث من الغدد الليمفاوية ولكن مع إصابة غلاف الغدة أو انتشار الورم خارجه. حيث أن الاستخدام الروتينى للعلاج الإشعاعى بعد استئصال الثدى فى هذه الحالات لم يصل بعد إلى مرحلة الحسم. هذا وقد اشتملت هذه الدراسة على أربع وستين مريضه مصابات بسرطان الثدى. تم تقسيمهن إلى مجموعتين :

المجموعة الأولى (أ) : وتضم اثنتين وثلاثين مريضة تم علاجهن بالعلاج الإشعاعي الموضعي بعد استئصال الثدى بجرعة ٥٠ جراي وبجرعة يومية ٢ جراي لمدة خمسة أيام أسبوعيا وباستخدام جهاز الكوبالت.

أما المجموعة الثانية (ب) : وتضم اثنتين وثلاثين مريضة لم يخضعن للعلاج الإشعاعي بعد الاستئصال الجراحي. ولقد تم علاج المريضات في المجموعتين بعدد ست جرعات من العلاج الكيماوي (FAC).

وبعد متابعة المريضات فى المجموعتين لمدة خمس سنوات أظهرت النتائج أن المريضات فى المجموعة الأولى كن أقل عرضة للارتجاع الموضعى للورم حيث كانت نسبة الارتجاع فى المجموعة الأولى ١٢.٥% مقارنة بـ ٢٥% فى المجموعة الثانية وكان هذا الفرق ذا دلالة إحصائية. فى حين أن الفرق بين المجموعتين فى نسبة الأحياء بعد خمس سنوات لم تكن ذات دلالة إحصائية بين المجموعتين.

من هذه الدراسة تبين أن إضافة العلاج الإشعاعي المساعد في مراحل سرطان الثدى الأولى ومع وجود إصابة خارج غلاف الغدد الليمفاوية ذا فائدة كبيرة في الحد من الارتجاع الموضعي للمرض.